## **Coherent Control of Nonlinear Optics**

#### Yuri V. Rostovtsev and Marlan O. Scully

Department of Physics and Institute for Quantum Studies, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX

### Abstract

Using quantum coherent effects provides means to control nonlinear optical processes in various media. We predict several new effects: for example, forward Brillouin scattering and enhancement and control of coherent generation in the backward direction by applying only forward propagating fields. The applications range from development of hyper-dispersive materials, improvement of spatial resolution beyond diffraction limit to generation of squeezed and entangled light.

Lebedev Institute, Moscow, Russia; May 19, 2009

# **Coherent Control of Nonlinear Optics**

# Outline<sup>(\*)</sup>

Introduction

- Nonlinear Optics
- Phase-matching condition
- Quantum coherence effects
- Controlling phase-matching via coherence effects
- Experimental implementations Conclusion

#### GENERATION OF OPTICAL HARMONICS\*

P. A. Franken, A. E. Hill, C. W. Peters, and G. Weinreich The Harrison M. Randall Laboratory of Physics, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan (Received July 21, 1961)





FIG. 1. A direct reproduction of the first plate in which there was an indication of second harmonic. The wavelength scale is in units of 100 A. The arrow at 3472 A indicates the small but dense image produced by the second harmonic. The image of the primary beam at 6943 A is very large due to helation.



Nonlinear Optics started from here

### **Linear Optics**



### Second and third harmonic generation

 $\mathbf{P} = \chi \mathbf{E} + \chi^{(2)} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{E} + \chi^{(3)} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{E} + \dots$ 



### **Phase-matching**

VOLUME 8, NUMBER 1

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

JANUARY 1, 1952

#### MIXING OF LIGHT BEAMS IN CRYSTALS

J. A. Giordmaine

Bell Telephone Laboratories, Murray Hill, New Jersey (Received November 29, 1961)



### **Phase-matching**

VOLUME 8, NUMBER 1

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

JANUARY 1, 1952

#### MIXING OF LIGHT BEAMS IN CRYSTALS

J. A. Giordmaine

Bell Telephone Laboratories, Murray Hill, New Jersey (Received November 29, 1961)





# **Control of Coherent Generation**



*Motivation:* controllable phase-matching is desirable to efficiently enhance nonlinear interaction, examples are given for 3- and 4-wave mixing;

Applications:Generation of new frequency bands (X-<br/>rays, THz); Generation of quantum states<br/>of light (entanglement photons, squeezed<br/>light); improvement high resolution<br/>spectroscopy; improvement of spatial<br/>resolution.

**Conclusion: YES** 

**Control of Phase matching for Nonlinear Wave Mixing** 

# How? What is the way to implement such an idea?

**Control of Phase matching for Nonlinear Wave Mixing** 

# How? What is the way to implement such an idea?

**Approach:** 

**By using Coherence effects** 

### **Two-level atom**





**EIT in atomic media:** Theoretically predicted: O. A. Kocharovskaya and Ya. I. Khanin, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz., Pis'ma Red 48, 581 (1988). [JEPT Lett. 48, 630 (1988)]; Experimentally observed: K. J. Boller, A. Imamoglu and S. E. Harris, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 1360 (1992); V. Sautenkov, et al. PRA 71, 063804 (2005); **Reviews** E. Arimondo, in Progrees in Optics, E. Wolf, ed. (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1996), XXXV, 257-354; Stephen E. Harris, Physics Today, July 1997, 36-42; M. Fleishhauer, A. Imamoglu, J. Marangos, Rev. Mod. Phys. 77, 633 (2005).

### **Dark and Bright States**



#### Important remark

Hebin Li, et al., Optical imaging beyond the diffraction limit via dark states, PRA (2008).

## **Susceptibility of EIT medium**

$$\Omega_1 = \frac{\wp_{ab}\mathcal{E}}{\hbar} \qquad \qquad \Omega_2 = \frac{\wp_{ca}\mathcal{E}}{\hbar}$$

Hamiltonian

$$V_I = -\hbar [\Omega_1 e^{-i\omega_{ab}t} |a\rangle \langle b| + \Omega_2 e^{-i\omega_{ac}t} |a\rangle \langle c| + h.c.]$$

### **Density matrix equations:**

$$\dot{\rho}_{ab} = -\Gamma_{ab}\rho_{ab} + in_{ab}\Omega_1 - i\rho_{cb}\Omega_2$$
$$\dot{\rho}_{ca} = -\Gamma_{ca}\rho_{ca} + in_{ca}\Omega_2^* + i\rho_{cb}\Omega_1^*$$
$$\dot{\rho}_{cb} = -\Gamma_{cb}\rho_{cb} + i\rho_{ca}\Omega_1 - i\rho_{ab}\Omega_2^*$$

$$\rho_{ab} = \frac{-i\Omega_1}{\Gamma_{ab} + \frac{|\Omega_2|^2}{\Gamma_{cb}}}$$

 $\Gamma_{ab} = \gamma_{ab} + i(\nu_1 - \omega_{ab}) \qquad \Gamma_{ca} = \gamma_{ca} - i(\nu_2 - \omega_{ac}) \qquad \Gamma_{cb} = \gamma_{cb} + i(\nu_1 - \nu_2 - \omega_{cb})$ 





Please, note here:

EIT can be applied to the search for special relativity and CPT violations that were among the topics of A.D. Sakharov's research

See, for example, Phys Rev D66 056005 (2002), and Contemporary Physics 47, 25 (2006).

Also EIT can be applied to clocks, magnetometry, plasma diagnostics including tokamak plasmas

See Anisimov PM, Akhmedzhanov RA, Zelenskii IV, et al. JETP 96, 801 (2003)

### **EIT in various media**

### CW and pulsed regimes

S.E. Harris, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 552 (1993); ibid. 72, 52 (1994). V. Sautenkov, Y. Rostovtsev, et al. Phys. Rev. A 71, 063804 (2005); Atomic and molecular gases, room temperature A.S. Zibrov, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 3925 (1996); S. Harris, A. Sokolov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 2894(1998) and BEC J. Kitching and L.Hollberg, Phys. Rev. A 59, 4685, (1999) in solids doped by rare-earth ions B. S. Ham, et al., Opt. Commun. 144, 227 (1997); B. S. Ham, et al., , Opt. Lett. 22, 1138 (1997) in semiconductor quantum wells A. Imamoglu, Opt. Commun. 179, 179 (2000); D.E. Nikonov, A. Imamoglu, M.O. Scully, Phys. Rev. B59, 12212 (1999) different wavelengths: from X-ray to microwaves C.J. Wei, N.B. Manson, Phys. Rev. A60 2540 (1999); R. Coussement, Y. Rostovtsev, J. Odeurs, et al. Controlling absorption of gamma radiation via nuclear level anticrossing, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 107601 (2002).

### **Beating diffraction limit via EIT**



Theory: D.D. Yavuz and N.A. Proite, PRA 76, 041802 (2007), J. Cho, PRL 99, 020502 (2007), A.V. Gorshkov, M.D. Lukin, et. al. PRL 100, 093005 (2008) Theory and experiment: H. Li, et. al. PRA (2008).

### **Beating diffraction limit via EIT**



### **Beating diffraction limit via EIT**



### **Motivation: go beyond the diffraction limit**



Classically, the best resolution is  $\sim \lambda/2$ .

### A proof-of-principle experiment



**Four-wave mixing** 

**Motivation:** 

Applications to Quantum Computing Quantum sensing, Generation of entangled light, Generation of NOON states, etc.

# Four-wave mixing



$$\mathbf{k}_4 = \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3$$
$$\boldsymbol{\omega}_4 = \boldsymbol{\omega}_1 - \boldsymbol{\omega}_2 + \boldsymbol{\omega}_3$$

# Using two forward propagating beams, we prepare coherent grading in the medium



### **Coherence at b-c transition at the two-photon resonance**



$$\delta = \nu_1 - \omega_{ab} = 0$$

### **Coherence of b-c transition off the two-photon resonance**



$$\delta = \nu_1 - \omega_{ab} < 0$$

# Using two forward propagating beams, we prepare coherent grading in the medium



# Then, the third propagating beam is scattered in the backward direction





$$\rho_{cb} \sim -\Omega_1 \Omega_2^*$$

$$\rho_{cb} \sim \exp[i(k_1 - k_2)z]$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \Omega_4 \sim \rho_{cb} \Omega_3$$

$$\sim \Omega_1 \Omega_2^* \Omega_3$$

$$\sim e^{i(k_1 - k_2 + k_3 - k_4)z}$$

$$k_4 = k_1 - k_2 + k_3$$





k2

R



### **Estimation**

Density is given by  $N = \frac{16k_4}{3\lambda^2} \left(\frac{|\Omega_2|^2}{\gamma_r |\delta\nu|}\right) \simeq \frac{16k_4}{3\lambda^2}$ 

NO (a resonant transition at 236 nm,  $A^2\Sigma^+ - X^2\Pi$ ), vibration frequency of 1900 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 5.26  $\mu$ m

 $N_{NO} = 8 \cdot 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ 

 $NO_2$  (a resonant transition at wavelength 337 nm, vibrational frequency of 750 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 13.3  $\mu$ m)

 $N_{NO2} = 1.4 \cdot 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ 

For transition between rotational levels  $\simeq 10 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , the required molecular density of NO and NO<sub>2</sub> molecules is  $N \simeq 1.2 \cdot 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ 

### Alternative Schemes





 $k_4 = k_1 - k_2 - k_3$ 

 $k_4 = k_1 + k_2 - k_3$ 

Atomic vapor



Rb, 5.5 μm 420 nm, 780 nm, 776 nm Cs, 15.5 μm 852 nm, 920 nm, 456 nm The presented results based on resonant steep dispersion of the medium

**But could it be observed experimentally?** 



# **Angular dispersion**

Prisms:



 $d\theta/d\lambda = 10^{-4} \text{ nm}^{-1}$ 

Diffraction gratings Interferometers

 $d\theta/d\lambda = 10^{-3} \text{ nm}^{-1}$ 



# What is angular dispersion of media with excited quantum coherence?

# **Angular dispersion**

Prisms:



 $d\theta/d\lambda = 10^{-4} \text{ nm}^{-1}$ 

### Diffraction gratings Interferometers

 $d\theta/d\lambda = 10^{-3} \text{ nm}^{-1}$ 



The medium with excited quantum coherence



 $d\theta/d\lambda = 10^3 \ {\rm nm}^{-1}$ 

### An ultra-dispersive medium controlled by coherent field



**Propagation equation** 

$$\nabla^2 E - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial t^2} = \frac{4\pi}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial t^2}$$

Geometrical optics equaiton

$$\frac{d}{ds}\left(n\frac{d\vec{R}}{ds}\right) = \nabla n$$

Index of refraction is given by

$$n = 1 + \frac{\omega - \omega_{ab}}{kV_g} \qquad V_g = \frac{|\Omega|^2}{\eta\gamma_r}$$

### Estimate for the bending angle is

$$\begin{split} \theta &= \alpha L = \frac{\Delta \omega}{k V_g} \frac{\Delta V_g}{V_g} \frac{L}{D} = \frac{\Delta \omega}{\gamma_{cb} k D} \frac{\Delta V_g}{V_g} \frac{\gamma_{cb} L}{V_g} \\ \theta &\simeq 0.1 - 1, \end{split}$$

## **Propagation of the probe beam**



### **Propagation of the probe beam**





### An ultra-dispersive prism build from EIT medium



Eikonal equation:

$$(\nabla\Psi)^2 = k^2 = \frac{\omega^2}{c^2}n^2$$

Bending angle is given by

$$\theta \simeq L \nabla n$$

(b) Configuration of probe and control fields inside Rb cell.(c) Simplified levels diagram of Rb atom.

### An ultra-dispersive prism: experimental results



Angular dependence on detuning

### Conclusion

We show that angular dispersion of the prism is the six orders of magnitude is higher than glass prisms and gratings.



Distribution of field vs position (a) At the cell, (b) at 2 meter distance

Application to solids is very promising

V.A. Sautenkov, *et al.*, Ultra-dispersive adaptive prism, quant-ph/0701229

It might be nice...

But, is there any experimental implementation?

### Proof-of-principle experiment in Rb atomic vapor (work in progress at TAMU)



Rb, 6.8 GHz 780 nm, 776 nm

### Proof-of-principle experiment in Rb atomic vapor (work in progress)



### Proof-of-principle experiment in Rb atomic vapor (work in progress)



# Proof-of-principle experiment in Rb atomic vapor (work in progress at TAMU) $a \longrightarrow \Omega_{d}$ $A \longrightarrow \Omega_{d}$



# **Application to Ruby Crystal and Generation of THz**

(work in progress at the Institute of Applied Physics RAS by R. Akhmedzhanov's group)

What is THZ?



# Possible applications of ultrashort pulses of THz radiation



### **Coherent generation of short THz pulses: from atomic and molecular gases to solids**



Ba, Ca, Rb<sub>2</sub>, K<sub>2</sub>, ...

 $Ω_1$  and  $Ω_2$  are optical fields in two-photon resonance to excite coherence between levels b and c.  $Ω_3$  is the IR field, and  $Ω_4$  is generated THz radiation (V-Λ shown by dashed lines, Ladder-V by solid lines). Atomic medium: For example, Rb levels are  $a = 5S_{1/2}$ ,  $b = 10P_{1/2,3/2}$ ,  $c = 6P_{1/2,3/2}$ ,  $d(d') = 8D_{3/2,5/2}(9D_{3/2,5/2})$ .

M. Jain, H. Xia, G. Y. Yin, A. J. Merriam, and S. E. Harris, Efficient nonlinear frequency conversion with maximal atomic coherence, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 4326-4329 (1996); M. D. Lukin, P. R. Hemmer, M. Löffler, and M. O. Scully, Resonant enhancement of parametric processes via radiative interference and induced coherence, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 2675-2678 (1998)

## **Efficiency of the method**

Hamiltonian 
$$V_{I} = -\hbar [\Omega_{2} e^{-i\omega_{\alpha c} t} | a \rangle \langle c | + \Omega_{1} e^{-i\omega_{\alpha b} t} | a \rangle \langle b | + h.c.]$$
$$-\hbar [\Omega_{3} e^{-i\omega_{d c} t} | d \rangle \langle c | + \Omega_{4} e^{-i\omega_{d b} t} | d \rangle \langle b | + h.c.]$$
Equations: 
$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \tau} = -\frac{i}{\hbar} [H, \rho] - \frac{1}{2} (\Gamma \rho + \rho \Gamma) \qquad \qquad \frac{\partial \Omega_{\alpha}}{\partial z} = -\kappa_{\alpha} \Omega_{\alpha} - i\xi \eta_{\alpha} \rho_{\alpha}$$
$$\Omega_{i} = \wp_{i} \mathcal{E}_{i} / \hbar \quad \xi = \int F_{nm}(x, y) dx dy / S \qquad \qquad \eta_{\alpha} = \nu_{\alpha} N \wp_{\alpha}^{2} / (2\hbar\epsilon_{0}c) \qquad \kappa_{4} = \lambda / D^{2}$$

Generated field is given by

$$\Omega_4 = \xi \int_0^L dz e^{i\delta kz} \eta \tau \rho_{cb} \Omega_3^* = \xi \frac{\sin(\delta kL)}{(\delta kL)} \eta \tau \rho_{cb} L \Omega_3^*$$
$$(\delta k = k_4 - k_3 - k_1 + k_2), \ \xi \simeq 1.$$
$$\epsilon = \frac{I_4^L}{I_3^0} = \xi^2 \operatorname{sinc}^2(\delta kL) \left(\frac{4\pi^2 \wp_v \wp_j N \rho_{bc} \tau L}{\lambda \hbar}\right)^2.$$

Efficiency:

Note: depletion of  $\Omega_3$  is not taken into account

# Coherent generation of THz radiation in Ruby at room temperature



FIG. 1: a) Three-level V energy system in ruby proposed for generation of 29 cm<sup>-1</sup> THz pulses; b) Model V system of energy levels with two co-propagating fields 1 and 2 inducing coherence between levels b and c.





# **Conclusion:**

We show that in EIT atomic and molecular systems coherent backscattering is possible. It allows one

- to generate spatially entanglement photon states,
- to control phase-matching,
- to perform nonlinear light steering,
- to improve spatial resolution

We have shown the experiments in support of theoretical results, although some experiments are in progress.



# **Conclusion:**

We show that in EIT atomic and molecular systems coherent backscattering is possible. It allows one

- to generate spatially entanglement photon states,
- to control phase-matching,
- to perform nonlinear light steering,
- to improve spatial resolution

We have shown the experiments in support of theoretical results, although some experiments are in progress.

### Thank you for your attention ③

### **Thanks to collaborators:**

G. S Agarwal, OSU
J. Dowling, Louisiana State University
M. Fedorov, GPI, RAS, Russia
M. Gubin, Lebedev Institute, RAS, Russia
I. Novikova, E. Mikhailov, College of William&Mary
N. Kalugin, NMT
G. Kurizki, Weizmann Institute of Science, Israel
S. Suckewer, R. Miles, Princeton University
A.S. Zibrov, ITAMP

PhD students D. Son P. Anisimov A. Gombojav H. Li

#### TAMU

Physics:O. Kocharovskaya, M.O. Scully, G.R. Welch, M.S. ZubairyEER. Navel, P.R. HemmerChemistryJ. Bevan, J. LaaneMathG. Gordon

\$\$\$ Funding: ONR, CRDF